## AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL EARL CORNETT

|                    |                |                                      | 9          | 유        |
|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|------------|----------|
| State of Oklahoma  | · )            |                                      | 贸          |          |
|                    | ) ss           | · ·                                  | C          | SWESSW.  |
| County of Oklahoma | (              | 4                                    | _          | ころから     |
|                    | )              | MUR5781                              |            | SOSMU    |
|                    |                |                                      | 7          | MMSI.≤   |
| I Michael Earl Co  | arnett heina   | of lawful age and duly sworn upon my | nath       | states O |
| i, Michael Lan Co  | Jillett, being | of lawful age and duly sworn upon my | <b>₩</b> , |          |
| the following:     |                |                                      | Ċ          | FS       |
|                    |                |                                      | - :        | • •      |

2

- 1. I own a video production business in Oklahoma City named Mick Cornett Video Productions. The business was started with that name in 1999, two years before I ran for my first political office. Until I was elected to the city council of Oklahoma City in 2001 and mayor of Oklahoma City in February, 2004, it was my sole source of income. The compensation paid for as a councilman and as mayor, \$12,000 per year and \$24,000 per year respectively, is not enough by itself to support my family. Although I work on behalf of the city full-time and no longer run the day to day operations of the video production business, it continues to operate and has always been my primary source of income and continues to be.
- 2. Since I was elected mayor of Oklahoma City in February, 2004, my son, Michael Cornett Jr., has run the business on a daily basis and made all pertinent decisions on the distribution of promotional materials, including the brochure in question.
- 3. All aspects of the brochure in question—the design, printing, postage, etc—were funded 100% by the business.
  - 4. The brochures were mailed sporadically over a 2-3 month period in the spring of 2006. We have had similar mailings in previous years. The brochures were sent to members of the Chamber of Commerce from a list of mailing labels purchased from the Chamber. The only consideration in determining whom to send a brochure to was the possibility of creating business for the video production company. There was absolutely no consideration given to political party affiliation.
- 5. In summation, there was no connection or coordination between the business and the campaign. The list that was used to send out the brochures was never used by

the campaign. No funds from the business were used for the campaign and no campaign funds were used for the business.

## **FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT**

Mileal Earl Cornett

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4 day of December, 2006.

Notary Public, My Commission #\_05000 46 €

My Commission Expires:

1-11-09 (seal)



Sisanliellingle.